

## EXPERT ANALYSIS

### The New York State Department of Financial Services Issues New Cybersecurity Regulations

By Daniel A. Cotter, Esq.  
Butler Rubin Saltarelli & Boyd

On September 13, 2016, New York State Governor Andrew M. Cuomo issued a press release announcing the proposal of “a new first-in-the-nation regulation” requiring banks, insurance companies and other financial institutions regulated by the New York Department of Financial Services (the “NYDFS”) to “establish and maintain a cybersecurity program designed to protect consumers.”<sup>1</sup>

Governor Cuomo’s announcement followed a November 9, 2015 letter from NYDFS Acting Superintendent Anthony J. Albanese to the members of the New York Financial and Banking Information Infrastructure Committee (“FBIIIC”), notifying those agencies that the NYDFS was considering “potential new NYDFS Cybersecurity Regulation Requirements” (the “NYDFS Cyber Letter”).<sup>2</sup>

These proposed regulations are the most extensive that have been issued by any regulator to date and will have a major impact on the cybersecurity practices of financial services companies as well as those with whom they interact.

This article addresses the newly published “Cybersecurity Requirements for Financial Services Companies”<sup>3</sup> and what financial services companies will need to do to comply with them.

#### THE NYDFS SURVEYS

The NYDFS conducted surveys of its regulated banking organizations in 2013 and of its regulated insurers in 2013 and 2014. The surveys requested that the banks and insurers respond to questions about their cybersecurity programs, the costs of those programs, and their future cybersecurity plans.

In May 2014, the NYDFS issued its “*Report on Cyber Security in the Banking Sector*” (the “Banking Report”), which contained the results of 154 banking institutions’ responses.<sup>4</sup> The findings in the Banking Report included:

- Most of the banking institutions surveyed relied on a mix of in-house and third party provider IT systems;
- Almost 90% of the institutions reported having an information security framework in place<sup>5</sup>;
- Most respondents were using a variety of security technologies to improve security and help prevent a cyber breach;
- All respondents were conducting penetration testing, although the frequency varied significantly;
- Most institutions included cybersecurity budgets within IT or operations budgets;



*These proposed regulations are the most extensive that have been issued by any regulator to date and will have a major impact on the cybersecurity practices of financial services companies.*

- Most respondents tended to address corporate governance around cybersecurity within the IT framework; and,
- Most respondents had experienced either actual intrusions or attempted intrusions into their IT systems in the three years prior to the survey.

The Banking Report recommended that all “New York State-chartered depository institutions” become members of the Financial Services Information Sharing and Analysis Center (“FS-ISAC”).<sup>6</sup>

The Banking Report also expressed concerns about “the industry’s reliance on third-party service providers for critical banking functions” and recommended that banking institutions focus on due diligence of third party vendors, as well as monitoring third party service providers in the cybersecurity area.

Finally, the Banking Report recommended that every banking institution focus on “its top cyber risks and design a program around those risks.” The NYDFS concluded:

As part of its continuing efforts in this area, the Department plans to expand its IT examination procedures to focus more fully on cyber security. The revised examination procedures will include additional questions in the areas of IT management and governance, incident response and event management, access controls, network security, vendor management, and disaster recovery. The revised procedures are intended to take a holistic view of an institution’s cyber readiness and will be tailored to reflect each institution’s unique risk profile.

In February 2015, the NYDFS issued its “Report on Cybersecurity in the Insurance Sector” (the “Insurance Report”), which included survey responses from 43 insurance providers with combined assets of approximately \$3.2 trillion.<sup>7</sup>

The findings and conclusions were similar to those contained in the Banking Report, with a few major exceptions. First, whereas the Banking Report noted that corporate governance for cyber was primarily within the IT framework, the Insurance Report found that “a majority of insurers reported involvement from a number of different departments within their organizations.”

The other major difference related to the frequency of cybersecurity incidents and breaches, with the Insurance Report noting “58% of insurers reported that they experienced no cyber security breaches in the three years preceding the survey, excluding failed attempts.”

The Insurance Report concluded that the NYDFS was “considering the use of various security technologies in financial institutions” and discussed its meetings with the insurance industry to learn more about the cyber insurance market.

As a follow up to the May 2014 Banking Report, the NYDFS issued a letter in October 2014 to 40 banking organizations to try to obtain more information regarding banking organizations’ practices with respect to third-party service providers and how the banking organizations managed this process (the “Vendor Management Report”).<sup>8</sup>

The Vendor Management Report findings included:

- Almost all survey respondents conducted specific information security risk assessments of its high-risk vendors;
- The vast majority of respondents imposed information security requirements on their third-party vendors, although those requirements varied;
- All respondents had written vendor management policies;
- Almost all respondents utilized encryption for transmission to or from third parties, but only 38% encrypted data at rest; and,
- 63% of the respondents indicated they carried cybersecurity insurance.

#### **THE NYDFS CYBER LETTER**

On November 9, 2015, Acting Superintendent Albanese issued the NYDFS Cyber Letter to the FBIIC Members (see endnote 2 for a listing of FBIIC Members). Albanese informed the FBIIC

Members that his hope was to lead to “new, strong cyber security standards for financial institutions.”

Citing the Banking and Insurance Reports, Albanese noted “several additional actions” the NYDFS had undertaken to address cybersecurity, including: 1) expanding its IT examination procedures “to focus more attention on cybersecurity,” and 2) focusing on “the financial industry’s reliance on third-party service providers for critical banking and insurance functions.”

After making a number of conclusions and observations based on the surveys and other interactions by the NYDFS with the financial services industry, the NYDFS Cyber Letter set forth “the key regulatory proposals that [the NYDFS is] currently considering” NYDFS requested the FBIIC Members’ collaboration and cooperation. The specific requirements were outlined in the following areas:

- (1) Cybersecurity Policies and Procedures: The proposed regulations contained twelve areas that a financial institution’s written cybersecurity policies and procedures would be required to cover<sup>9</sup>;
- (2) Third-party Service Provider Management: Regulated entities would be required to have policies and procedures to ensure the security of sensitive data, with “minimum preferred terms” for third-party service providers;<sup>10</sup>
- (3) Multi-Factor Authentication: Regulated entities would be required to implement multi-factor authentication for certain data access and transmission;
- (4) Chief Information Security Officer: Regulated entities would be required to designate a qualified employee;
- (5) Application Security: Regulated entities would be required to have written policies and procedures to ensure security of all applications utilized;
- (6) Cybersecurity Personnel and Intelligence: Regulated entities would be required to employ adequate personnel to manage cyber risks of the entity;
- (7) Audit: Regulated entities would be required to conduct annual penetration testing and quarterly vulnerability assessments; and,
- (8) Notice of Cybersecurity Incidents: Regulated entities would be required to “immediately notify” the NYDFS of any cybersecurity incident “that has a reasonable likelihood of materially affecting the normal operation of the entity.”

The eight areas of potential regulations outlined above went beyond the survey results and reports that had been issued by the NYDFS, and FBIIC Members and other interested parties provided feedback on the regulations to the NYDFS.

## CYBERSECURITY REQUIREMENTS FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPANIES

Governor Cuomo’s press release proposing “Cybersecurity Requirements for Financial Services Companies” (the “NY Cyber Regulation”)<sup>11</sup> described the proposed regulation as “first-in-the-nation,” stating:

New York, the financial capital of the world, is leading the nation in taking decisive action to protect consumers and our financial system from serious economic harm.... This regulation helps guarantee the financial services industry upholds its obligations to protect consumers and ensure that its systems are sufficiently constructed to protect cyber-attacks to the fullest extent possible.<sup>12</sup>

The regulation is currently subject to a 45-day notice and public comment period before final issuance, and will require annual certification of compliance by any “covered entity.”<sup>13</sup>

NYDFS Superintendent Maria T. Vullo stated in the press release:

Consumers must be confident that their sensitive nonpublic information is being protected and handled appropriately by the financial institutions they are doing business with.... Regulated entities will be held accountable and must annually certify

*The proposed regulations contained 12 areas that a financial institution’s written cybersecurity policies and procedures would be required to cover.*

compliance with this regulation by assessing their specific risk profiles and designing programs that vigorously address those risks.

The NY Cyber Regulation is designed to provide “certain regulatory minimums” while not “being overly prescriptive so that cybersecurity programs can match the relevant risks and keep pace with technological advances.”

The NYDFS recognized that many covered entities “have proactively increased their cybersecurity programs with great success,” but noted that some entities had not adopted a cybersecurity program and concluded that all financial institutions must adhere to minimum standards to protect against cybercriminals.

The NY Cyber Regulation generally follows the framework that was contained in the NYDFS Cyber Letter, requiring every covered entity to “establish and maintain a cybersecurity program” that must perform the following core functions:

- (1) Identify internal and external cyber risks by, at a minimum, identifying the Nonpublic Information stored on the Covered Entity’s Information Systems, the sensitivity of such Nonpublic Information, and how and by whom such Nonpublic Information may be accessed;
- (2) Use defensive infrastructure and the implementation of policies and procedures to protect the Covered Entity’s Information Systems, and the Nonpublic Information stored on those Information Systems, from unauthorized access, use or other malicious acts;
- (3) Detect Cybersecurity Events;
- (4) Respond to identified or detected Cybersecurity Events to mitigate any negative effects;
- (5) Recover from Cybersecurity Events and restore normal operations and services; and
- (6) Fulfill all regulatory reporting obligations.<sup>14</sup>

The NY Cyber Regulation would require the covered entity’s board of directors review the cybersecurity policy and that the cybersecurity policy be approved by a Senior Officer.

Once effective,<sup>15</sup> financial institutions must review how its board addresses cybersecurity and, if not currently part of the process, will be required to ensure that the board reviews the cybersecurity policy periodically.

The organization’s Chief Information Security Officer (“CISO”) will be required to prepare and present, at least twice annually, a report to the board of directors that identifies the entity’s cyber risks and assesses the effectiveness of the organization’s cybersecurity program.

The proposed NY Cyber Regulation addresses and provides regulatory direction as to each of the eight areas included in the NYDFS Cyber Letter. In addition to the twelve cybersecurity coverage areas recommended in the NYDFS Cyber letter (*see endnote 9*), the NY Cyber Regulation will require “systems and network monitoring” and “risk assessment.” Every cybersecurity program must include penetration testing and vulnerability assessment and include an audit trail system.

Financial institutions will also need to provide minimum necessary access privileges to users and “periodically review such access privileges.” Organizations subject to the NY Cyber Regulation must employ appropriate levels of cybersecurity personnel with the required level of expertise and at least annually conduct a risk assessment of their information systems.

Financial institutions must “include policies and procedures for the timely destruction of any Nonpublic Information.”

Finally, the NY Cyber Regulation will require encryption of “all Nonpublic Information held or transmitted by the Covered Entity both in transit and at rest.” As noted, the Vendor Management Report indicated that only 38% of respondents encrypted data at rest.

#### **WHAT FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS/COVERED ENTITIES SHOULD BE DOING**

The good news reflected in the Banking Report, Insurance Report and the Vendor Management Report is that many entities subject to the NY Cyber Regulation have already taken some significant steps to address cybersecurity risks and to protect sensitive information.

*The regulation is currently subject to a 45-day notice and public comment period before final issuance.*

However, given the “minimum standards” set forth in the NY Cyber Regulation, all entities subject to the regulation should review it carefully for the details it will require in every cybersecurity program.

For any entity that has not established a cybersecurity program<sup>16</sup>, it must take immediate steps to implement a program that complies with the minimum standards outlined in the proposed regulation.

Any financial institution licensed or authorized in New York by the NYDFS to operate within the state will be subject to the NY Cyber Regulation; although there is a “small entity” exception<sup>17</sup> that will exempt only a small number of institutions from compliance.

One matter that the regulated entities must implement if the proposed regulation goes into effect is to encrypt data both in transit and at rest.<sup>18</sup> Regulated entities will also have to review their board of directors’ interaction and communications regarding cybersecurity.

## CONCLUSION

In light of the statement in the NY Cyber Regulation that “[a]doption of the program ... is a priority for New York State” and the work that the NYDFS has done over the last three years assessing the current state of cybersecurity for financial institutions, the NY Cyber Regulation is likely to be adopted.<sup>19</sup>

Other jurisdictions likely will follow suit once the regulation is final, given the influence that NYDFS has in the financial services sector and also based on other activity taking place in associations such as the National Association of Insurance Commissioners<sup>20</sup>.

The final regulation issued at the end of the 45-day notice period may reflect some input and changes to address concerns raised by affected financial institutions, but its adoption appears likely.

All covered entities should review in detail their current cybersecurity program and policies and procedures and take steps to ensure by 2017 they have policies and procedures sufficient to meet the requirements of the NY Cyber Regulation “minimum standards.” Failure to take steps to comply with the NY Cyber Regulation may create challenges for the covered entity, which must annually certify compliance with the final regulation.

## NOTES

<sup>1</sup> September 13, 2016, Press Release, “Governor Cuomo Announces Proposal Of First-In-The-Nation Cybersecurity Regulation To Protect Consumers and Financial Institutions,” available at <http://www.dfs.ny.gov/about/press/pr1609131.htm>.

<sup>2</sup> November 9, 2015, Memo from NYDFS acting Superintendent Anthony J. Albanese to Financial and Banking Information Infrastructure Committee (FBIIC) Members regarding “Potential New NYDFS Cybersecurity Regulation Requirements,” available at [https://www.manatt.com/uploadedFiles/Content/4\\_News\\_and\\_Events/Newsletters/BankingLaw@manatt/DFSletter.pdf](https://www.manatt.com/uploadedFiles/Content/4_News_and_Events/Newsletters/BankingLaw@manatt/DFSletter.pdf). The FBIIC Members include the following: Federal Reserve Board of Governors; Office of the Comptroller of the Currency; Commodities Futures Trading Commission; U.S. Department of the Treasury; Securities and Exchange Commission; Federal Deposit Insurance Commission; Federal Housing Finance Agency; Consumer Financial Protection Bureau; National Credit Union Administration; Federal Reserve Bank of New York; Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago; National Association of Insurance Commissioners; Conference of State Bank Supervisors; American Council of State Savings Supervisors; Farm Credit Administration; National Association of State Credit Union Supervisors; North American Securities Administrators Association; and, Securities Investor Protection Corporation.

<sup>3</sup> Available at <http://www.dfs.ny.gov/legal/regulations/proposed/rp500t.pdf>.

<sup>4</sup> Available at [http://www.dfs.ny.gov/reportpub/dfs\\_cyber\\_banking\\_report\\_052014.pdf](http://www.dfs.ny.gov/reportpub/dfs_cyber_banking_report_052014.pdf).

<sup>5</sup> The key pillars of these programs identified by the Banking Report included: “(1) a written information security policy, (2) security awareness education and employee training, (3) risk management of cyber-risk, inclusive of identification of key risks and trends, (4) information security audits, and (5) incident monitoring and reporting.” *Banking Report, Section II.B., p. 2*, available at [http://www.dfs.ny.gov/reportpub/dfs\\_cyber\\_banking\\_report\\_052014.pdf](http://www.dfs.ny.gov/reportpub/dfs_cyber_banking_report_052014.pdf).

<sup>6</sup> More information about FS-ISAC can be found at <http://www.fsisac.com>. FS-ISAC states it is the “only industry forum for collaboration on critical security threats facing the global financial services sector.” It is

designed as an information clearinghouse and warning center to help financial services companies protect against physical or cyber-attacks.

<sup>7</sup> Available at [http://www.dfs.ny.gov/reportpub/dfs\\_cyber\\_insurance\\_report\\_022015.pdf](http://www.dfs.ny.gov/reportpub/dfs_cyber_insurance_report_022015.pdf).

<sup>8</sup> Available at [http://www.dfs.ny.gov/reportpub/dfs\\_rpt\\_tpvendor\\_042015.pdf](http://www.dfs.ny.gov/reportpub/dfs_rpt_tpvendor_042015.pdf).

<sup>9</sup> The areas are: (1) information security; (2) data governance and classification; (3) access controls and identity management; (4) business continuity and disaster recovery planning and resources; (5) capacity and performance planning; (6) systems operations and availability concerns; (7) systems and network security; (8) systems and application development and quality assurance; (9) physical security and environmental controls; (10) customer data privacy; (11) vendor and third-party service provider management; and (12) incident response, including by setting clearly defined roles and decision making authority.

<sup>10</sup> The provisions would require, among other things: (1) the use of multi-factor authentication to limit access to sensitive data and systems; (2) the use of encryption to protect sensitive data in transit and at rest; (3) notice to be provided in the event of a cybersecurity incident; (4) the indemnification of the entity in the event of a cybersecurity incident that results in loss; (5) the ability of the entity or its agents to perform cybersecurity audits of the third party vendor; and (6) representations and warranties by the third party vendors concerning information security.

<sup>11</sup> Available at <http://www.dfs.ny.gov/legal/regulations/proposed/rp500t.pdf>.

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.dfs.ny.gov/about/press/pr1609131.htm>.

<sup>13</sup> According to the issued regulation, a “covered entity” means “any Person operating under or required to operate under a license, registration, charter, certificate, permit, accreditation or similar authorization under the banking law, the insurance law or the financial services law.”

<sup>14</sup> <http://www.dfs.ny.gov/legal/regulations/proposed/rp500t.pdf>.

<sup>15</sup> The proposed effective date of the NY Cyber Regulation is January 1, 2017.

<sup>16</sup> From the cyber surveys and reports, the number of such entities would appear to be relatively small. However, the number of survey respondents were relatively small, so there may be a number of entities without cybersecurity programs.

<sup>17</sup> Only entities with “fewer than 1000 customers,” “less than \$5,000,000 in gross annual revenue” and “less than \$10,000,000 in year-end total assets” are exempted from the NYDFS Regulation.

<sup>18</sup> The Vendor Management Report indicated that only 38% of respondents encrypted data at rest.

<sup>19</sup> The National Association of Insurance Commissioners (“NAIC”) is also working on a model law, “Insurance Data Security Model Law,” that addresses many of the same issues and would require insurance companies to implement many similar policies and procedures, including enhanced board of directors interaction.

<sup>20</sup> California regulators are working on similar regulations and the NAIC’s Cybersecurity Task Force is developing an “Insurance Data Security Model Law,” available in its current redlined form at [http://www.naic.org/documents/committees\\_ex\\_cybersecurity\\_tf\\_exposure\\_mod\\_draft\\_redline.pdf](http://www.naic.org/documents/committees_ex_cybersecurity_tf_exposure_mod_draft_redline.pdf).



**Daniel A. Cotter** is a partner at **Butler Rubin Saltarelli & Boyd** in Chicago, where he chairs the insurance regulatory and transactions practice and is a member of the cyber and privacy practice. Earlier this year he obtained his CIPP/US, a certified information privacy professional designation for the U.S. private sector from the International Association of Privacy Professionals. He can be reached at [dcotter@butlerrubin.com](mailto:dcotter@butlerrubin.com). Republished with permission.

©2016 Thomson Reuters. This publication was created to provide you with accurate and authoritative information concerning the subject matter covered, however it may not necessarily have been prepared by persons licensed to practice law in a particular jurisdiction. The publisher is not engaged in rendering legal or other professional advice, and this publication is not a substitute for the advice of an attorney. If you require legal or other expert advice, you should seek the services of a competent attorney or other professional. For subscription information, please visit [www.West.Thomson.com](http://www.West.Thomson.com).